JTL 11 (1) JUNE 2022 Page: 33-42

Contents list available at Jurnal Teknologi Laboratorium



JURNAL TEKNOLOGI LABORATORIUM



Original Research



updates

Inhibitory potentials of ivermectin, nafamostat, and camostat on spike protein and some nonstructural proteins of SARS-CoV-2: Virtual screening approach

Haruna Isiyaku Umar ¹, Ijeoma Akunna Duru ², Uchechi Emmanuela Enenebeaku ³, Lynda Chioma Ngozi-Olehi ⁴, Christian Ebere Enyoh ⁵, Chidi Edbert Duru ⁶

- ¹ Department of Biochemistry, Federal University of Technology Akure, PMB 704 Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.
- ² Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Technology Owerri, PMB 1526 Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.
- ³ Department of Biotechnology, Federal University of Technology Owerri, PMB 1526 Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.
- ⁴ Department of Chemistry, Alvan Ikoku Federal College of Education Owerri, PMB 1033 Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.
- ⁵ Department of Chemistry, Imo State University, Owerri, PMB 2000 Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.
- ⁶ Surface Chemistry and Environmental Technology (SCENT) Research Unit, Department of Chemistry, Imo State University, Owerri, PMB 2000 Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

Abstract: The search for potential oral drugs either through synthetic routes or by drug repurposing for combating the dreaded covid-19 virus is still ongoing. The coronavirus spike glycoprotein and several other non-structural proteins play crucial roles in the replication and transmission of this virus. Recent research have identified ivermectin, nafamostat, and camostat as promising drug inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 target proteins. The broad-spectrum inhibitory action of ivermectin, nafamostat, and camostat on the spike glycoprotein and some non-structural proteins of this virus was studied in silico. The spike glycoprotein, nsp3, nsp5, nsp9, nsp10, nsp13, and nsp16 were selected for this study and were downloaded from the protein data bank. Flexible docking procedure implemented in Auto Dock Vina module was deployed for the docking procedure of the drugs with the protein receptors. Although ivermectin had the best inhibitory action on the viral spike protein and nsp10, nafamostat was identified as the compound with the best broadspectrum activity on this virus, having the highest binding affinity values of - 9.4kcal/mol, - 7.9 Kcal/mol, - 6.1 Kcal/mol, - 8.0 Kcal/mol, and - 8.7 Kcal/mol for nsp3, nsp5, nsp9, nsp13, and nsp16 respectively. This drug, in combination with ivermectin could therefore be explored further as potential compounds that could be modified to curb the menace of the covid-19 pandemic.

Keywords: Camostat; Ivermectin; Nafamostat; Nonstructural protein; Spike protein; Virtual screening.

INTRODUCTION

Nearly a century after the Spanish flu, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a pandemic currently being faced by the global community¹. The current pandemic is because of a novel beta Covid, SARS-CoV-2, systematically having a place with the coronaviridae family, known to cause respiratory diseases in people². SARS-CoV-2 is a wrapped, single-stranded positive-sense RNA infection. The viral RNA genome contains 29,903 nucleotide bases and has ten

open reading frames (ORF). The ORF1ab encodes for the enormous replicase polyprotein PP1ab, which is separated by papain-like protease (PLpro) and 3-chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro) to generate nonstructural proteins (nsps) 1– 16, required for the replication of the virus. The primary proteins S, N, E, M, and supplementary proteins are encoded by ORF2-10². The S protein, anchored on the virus envelope, serves to attach coronavirus receptors and internalization³. This protein plays a crucial role in receptor recognition as well as the cell membrane fusion process. As soon as the virus interacts with the host cell, an extensive structural reorganization of the S protein occurs. This activity allows the virus to fuse with the host cell membrane. Polysaccharide molecules coat the spikes to camouflage them, thereby dodging surveillance of the host immune system during entrance ⁴.

Several non-structural proteins contribute to the replication and transcription of coronaviruses. Nsp3 is a multi-domain protein produced by coronaviruses. It is the largest of the non-structural proteins. It plays many roles in the viral life cycle, acting as a framework of protein that interacts with itself and binds to other viral nsps or host proteins ⁵. Generally, nsp3 is crucial in coronaviruses for the formation of replication transcription complexes (RTC) assembly on the host cell membrane, where replication and transcription of the viral genome take occur⁶. Nsp5, often referred to as 3C-like protease, plays a crucial role in synthesizing viral proteins and generates many nonstructural viral proteins through its protease activity. Nsp5 plays a vital role in the coronavirus life cycle, making it a desirable target for producing antiviral drugs ^{7,8}. Nsp9 is an essential non-structural protein that links coronavirus replication to RNA. Several ways of nsp9 dimerization improve their binding affinity to nucleic acid⁹. Nsp10 is also a significant replication regulator with 148 amino acids and two zinc finger domains for enzymatic interactions. It could interact with nsp14 and nsp16^{10,11}. Nsp13 is one of the most conserved ancestral proteins in nido-viruses, making it an essential drug discovery target ¹². This protein can unwind double-stranded DNA and RNA through hydrolysis of deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs) and ribonucleotide triphosphates. This activity can be facilitated by nsp12¹³. All the non-structural proteins, nsp16 is crucial in the viral replication cycle because it is important for coronavirus immune evasion ¹⁴. Nsp16 being a 2'-Omethyltransferase, forms part of the replication transcription complex ¹⁵. This protein particularly promotes the transfer of a methyl group from its Sadenosylmethionine cofactor to the 2-hydroxyl of ribose sugar of viral Mrna¹⁶. This activity improves translation efficiency and camouflages the mRNA so that intracellular pathogen recognition receptors do not recognize it. Essentially, the inhibition or knocking out of 2'-O-mTase activity severely reduces viral replication and infectivity of coronaviruses ¹⁷. Therefore, developing inhibitors of nsp16 is a potential therapeutic approach.

Numerous studies related to identifying effective therapeutics for SARS-CoV-2 have been reported ¹⁸⁻²⁰. In our previous study ²¹, using in silico techniques, we evaluated the efficiency of eleven drugs, including chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir, ritonavir, nafamostat, camostat, famotidine, umifenovir, nitazoxanide, ivermectin, and fluvoxamine, in blocking the interactions between human ACE2 and coronavirus spike glycoprotein. Lopinavir, ritonavir, and nafamostat showed good binding affinity on ACE2, while ivermectin, nafamostat, and camostat had the best binding affinity on the coronavirus spike glycoprotein. In this study, the binding affinities of ivermectin, nafamostat, and camostaton the spike and some non-structural proteins of theSARS-CoV-2 were investigated in silico to identify the compound with the largest broad-spectrum inhibitory activity on this virus.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Protein selection and preparation

Three dimensional (3D) X-ray crystallographic structure of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, non-structural proteins 3, 5, 9, 10, 13, and 16 weresourced from the protein data bank (PDB) through protein-plus webserver of Hamburg University, Germany. These selected proteins were then prepared for *in silico* docking and minimization implemented via the appropriate tools in Cresset Flare© software, version 4.0 (https://www.cresset-group.com/flare/). The minimization was implemented by choosing the General Amber Force Field (GAFF) option, with a gradient cutoff of 0.200 Kcal/mol/A, and iteration was set to 2000 iterations ²².

Selection and preparations of drugs

Three dimensional (3D) structures of camostat, nafamostat, and ivermectin were recovered from an online chemical curation server called PubChem in simple document format (SDF). Open babel in Python Prescription (version 0.8) was deployed for the optimization of our selected ligands. This process converts ligands into the most stable structures energetically by choosing Universal Force Field (UFF) option.

Computational docking procedure

Flexible docking procedure implemented in the Auto Dock Vina module in Python Prescription suite ²³ was deployed for the docking procedure of the drugs with the protein receptors. Target site specific to each protein receptor was adjusted through the grid box with parameters provided in Table 1, containing the dimensions and the binding regions of each protein. The binding affinity with the protein-drug complex was retrieved at the end of the docking run.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The binding affinity of ivermectin, nafamostat, and camostat on the spike glycoprotein and some other non-structural proteins of SARS-CoV-2 are shown in Table 2 and the interactions of the drugs with the amino acids at the binding site of the proteins are given in Table 3.

Drugs	ΔG (Kcal/mol)						
	Spike	Nsp3	Nsp5	Nsp9	Nsp10	Nsp13	Nsp16
Ivermectin	-8.4	- 6.4	- 6.9	- 3.7	-8.0	-4.1	- 5.7
Nafamostat	- 7.8	-9.4	-7.9	-6.1	- 7.7	-8.0	-8.7
Camostat	-7.2	-8.3	- 6.7	-5.4	-7.0	- 7.3	-7.6

Table 2. Binding affinity of the selected drugs on some SARS-CoV-2 proteins

The antiviral agent camostat is a serine protease inhibitor that attacks SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. Clinically, it is used to treat pancreatitis and reflux oesophagitis. It fights and reduces viral infection by blocking virus-membrane fusion. Studies show thatSARS-CoV-2 utilizes the human transmembrane protease serine 2, TMPRSS2, to enter the human cell, cleave and activate the spike protein ^{31,32}. This shows that the drug attacks and prevents virus-cell membrane fusion, thereby inhibiting viral replication.

Nafamostat approved for the treatment of acute pancreatitis is being studied as a drug that can block the viral entry of the new coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2. According to recent studies on SARS-CoV-2 cell entry on ACE2 and TMPRSS2, nafamostat can very well inhibit the membrane fusion of the virus's envelope with host cell surface membranes ³². Results show that it efficiently blocked SARS-CoV-2 infection of human lungs. It has also been reported to block the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection in vitro ³³

Ivermectin is widely used as a broad-spectrum antiparasitic drug with known efficacy of antiviral properties. It is commonly used to treat several tropical diseases that include onchocerciasis, helminthiases, and scabies. It is also used to control malaria transmission as it is appreciably tolerated and used. Reports from studies suggest that ivermectin inhibits key intracellular transport proteins hijacked by viruses that infect by attacking the host's antiviral response. A study by Chaccour ³⁴ reported that patients treated with a single 400 mcg/kg dose of ivermectin for mild COVID-19 showed a tendency to lower viral load and cough within 72h. They suggested that there could be a down-regulation of the ACE-2 receptor and viral entry into the cells of the respiratory epithelium and olfactory bulb. It could also result from inhibition of the activation of pro-inflammatory pathways in the olfactory epithelium. It has also been shown to inhibit the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in cell cultures ³⁵.

The binding affinity of nafamostat was significantly greater than those of camostat and ivermectin on all the non-structural proteins apart from nsp10 that showed the best binding with ivermectin. This observation indicated that nafamostat would give the broadest spectrum of inhibitory action on these SARS-CoV-2 non-structural proteins but may however not be as efficient as ivermectin in preventing the replication of the virus. Ivermectin had the best inhibitory action on the spike glycoprotein and nsp10, which showed that it could prevent the penetration of the viral spike protein into the host and prevent the virus's replication.

A greater number of hydrogen bond interactions were found in the binding of nafamostat and camostat with the amino acid residues at the active sites of the proteins than what was observed with their interaction with ivermectin. This indicated that the drug-protein complexes formed by these two drugs would be more stable than those formed by ivermectin ³⁶. All the drugs interacted with Arg403 in the spike glycoprotein, showing that this amino acid is essential in inhibiting the action of this protein. Also, nafamostat and camostat interacted with Tyr495 and Thr500 in the spike glycoprotein, suggesting that their mechanism of action are similar.

All the drugs studied interacted with Pro248 and Tyr268 at the active site of nsp3. Nafamostat and camostat showed very similar mode of action at this site by their interaction with Gly163, Asp164, Arg166, Pro248, Tyr268, Gln269, and Glu167. Different amino acids interacted with the drugs at nsp5, indicating that their mechanisms of action at this protein site were not related. At nsp9 and nsp16, the mode of action of ivermectin was different from the other two drugs. Nafamostat and camostatinteracted withAla8, Leu9, Gln11, and Met101 at nsp9, and also with the amino acids Asp130, Gly71, Leu100, and Phe149 at nsp16,suggesting a similar mode of action by these two drugs at these sites. All the drugs interacted with ILE55 and VAL116 at nsp10, with nafamostat and camostat having a closer relationship in their mode of action by having additional interactions with Asp91 and Thr111. At nsp13, similarity in the interaction of all the drugs was observed at Lys288 and Asp374. The remaining interactions by all the drugs occurred at different amino acids in the protein.

CONCLUSION

The potentials of camostat, nafamostat, and ivermectin to inhibit the spike glycoprotein, nsp3, nsp5, nsp9, nsp10, nsp13, and nsp16 of SARS-CoV-2 were studied in silico. Nafamostat showed good binding affinity on all target proteins. However, ivermectin was better at binding with the spike glycoprotein and nsp10 than this drug. The mechanism of action of nafamostat and camostat on the studied proteins were very similar but varied markedly with ivermectin. The good binding affinity demonstrated by nafamostat at nsp3, nsp5, nsp9, nsp13, and nsp16 showed that it could influence multi-target interactions of the five proteins of the virus and hence curtail the infection. The potentials of nafamostat and ivermectin in SARS-CoV-2 prevention could therefore be explored for the possible production of a single compound that can inhibit spike glycoprotein and human ACE2 binding,

and interfere with the replication and transcription of coronaviruses in Homo sapiens when the infection has already occurred.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

CED: Conceptualization, Data curation, Supervision, Methodology, Software HIU: Conceptualization, Supervision, Methodology, Data curation, Software. IAD: Visualization, Investigation. UEE: Visualization, Investigation. LCN: Original draft preparation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing. CEE: Original draft preparation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing.

FUNDING INFORMATION

No funds, grants, or other support was received.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of any affiliated agency of the authors. The data is the result of the author's research and has never been published in other journals. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCE

- Shi Y., Y. Wang, C. Shao, J. Huang, J. Gan, X. Huang, E. Bucci, M. Piacentini, G. Ippolito, G. Melino, COVID-19 infection: the perspectives on immune responses, Cell Death Differ. (2020), https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-020-0530-3
- 2. Faheem, Kumar BK, Sekhar KVGC, Kunjiappan S, Jamalis J, Balaña-Fouce R, Tekwani BL, Sankaranarayanan M. Druggable targets of SARS-CoV-2 and treatment opportunities for COVID-19. Bioorg Chem104:104269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2020.104269
- 3. Yan R., ZhangY., Li Y., Xia, L., Guo Y., Zhou Q. (2020). Structural basis for the recognition of SARS Cov-2 by full-lenght human ACE2. Science 367, 1444-1448.
- 4. Watanabe Y., Allen JD., Wrapp O., Mclellan JS., Crispin M. (2020). Sitespecific glycan analysis of the SARS-Cov-2 spike. Science. 2020.369;330-333.
- Ma-Lauer Y, Carbajo -Lozoya J, Hein MY, Muller MA, Deng W, Lei J, Meyer B, Kusov Y, Von-Brun B, Bairad DR, Hunten S, Drosten C, Hermeking H, Leonhardt H, Mann M, Hilgenfield R (2016). Nsp3 down-regulates SARS corona virus replication and is targeted by the SARS-unique domain and PL^{pro} via E₃ ubiquitin ligase RCHY1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113:E55192-E5201.
- Wolff G., Melia CE., Snijder EJ., Barcena R. (2020).Double membrane vessicles as platforms for viral relication.Trends in Microbiology, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2020.05.009</u>
- 7. Macchiagodena M., Pagliai M., Procacci P. (2020). Identification and potential binders of the main protease 3CL(pro) of the covid-19 via structurebased ligand design and molecular modeling. ChemPhysLett. 750:137489.
- 8. Durdagi S., Aksoydan B., Dogan B., Sahin K., Shahraki A. (2020). Sreening of clinically approved and investigation drugs as potential inhibitors of COVID-19 main protease: A virtual drug repurposing study. DOI:10.26434/chemrxiv.12032712.v1.

- 9. Zeng Z., Deng F., Shi K., Ye G., Wang G., Fang L. (2018). Dimerization of coronavirus nsp9 with diverse modes enhances its nucleic acid binding affinity. J Virol.92, e00692-18.
- 10. Ma T., Wu L., Shaw N., Gao Y., Wang J., Sun Y. (2015). Structural basis and functional analysis of the SARS-corona virus nsp14-nsp10 complex.ProcNatlAcad Sci, 112:9436-9441.
- Rosas-Lemus M, G. Minasov, L. Shuvalova, N. L. Inniss, O. Kiryukhina, J. Brunzelle,nK. J. F. Satchell, High-resolution structures of the SARS-CoV-2 2'-O-methyltransferase reveal strategies for structure-based inhibitor design. Sci. Signal. 13, eabe1202 (2020).
- 12. Hao W., Wojdyla J.A., Zhao R., Han R., Das R., Zlatev I. (2017). Crystal structure of middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus helicase. PLoSPathog 13, e1006474.
- 13. 13.Jia Z., Yan L., Ren Z., Wu L., Wang J., Guo J. (2019). Delicate structural coordination of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nsp13 upon ATP hydrolysis.Nucleic acids Research, 47, 6538-6550.
- 14. Ramanathan A., Robb GB., Chan S.H. (2016). mRNA capping: Biological functions and applications. Nucleic acid Research. 44:7511-7526
- Sawicki S.G., Sawicki D.L., Younker D., Meyer Y., Thiel V., Stokes H., Sidell S.G. (2005). Functional and genetic analysis of coronavirus replicasetranscriptase proteins.PLoSPathog. 1:e39.
- Decroly E., Imbert I., Coutard B., Bouvet M., Selisko B., Alvarez K., Gorbalenya A.E., Snijder E.J., Canard B (2008). Corona virus nonstructural protein 16 is a Cap-0 binding enzyme possessing (Nucleoside-2'O)methyltransferase. Activity J. Virol. 82: 8071-8084.
- 17. Snijder E.J., Decroly E.J., Zierbuhr J. (2016). The non structural proteins directing corona virus RNA synthesis and processing. Adv. Virus. Res. 96: 59-126.
- Marcolino VA, Pimentel TC, Barão CE (2020). What to expect from different drugs used in the treatment of COVID-19: A study on applications and in vivo and in vitro results. European journal of pharmacology, 887, 173467.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2020.173467</u>
- 19. Umar HI, Josiah SS, Saliu TP, Jimoh TO, Ajayi A, Danjuma JB.In-silico analysis of the inhibition of the SARS-CoV-2 main protease by some active compounds from select African plants. J TaibahUniv Medical Sci 2021; <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2020.12.005</u>
- Duru CE, I.A. Duru, and A.E. Adegboyega (2021a). In Silico identification of compounds from *Nigella sativa* seed oil as potential inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 targets. Bulletin of the National Research Centre, 45:57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-021-00517-x</u>
- 21. Duru C.E., Haruna Umar H.I., Duru I.A., Enenbeaku U.E., Ngozi-Olehi L.C., Enyoh C.E. (2021b). Blocking the interactions between human ACE2 and coronavirus spike glycoprotein by selected drugs: a computational perspective. Environmental Analysis Health and Toxicology, 36(2), e2021010. https://doi.org/10.5620/eaht.2021010
- Stroganov OV, Novikov FN, Zeifman AA, Stroylov VS, Chilov GG. TSAR, a new graph-theoretical approach to computational modeling of protein sidechain flexibility: modeling of ionization properties of proteins. Proteins, 2011 79(9):2693-2710. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.23099</u>
- 23. Trott O, Olson AJ. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J. Computational Chemistry 2010; 31: 455–461.
- 24. Gao X, Qin B, Chen P, Zhu K, Hou P,Wojdyla JA, Wang M, Cui S.Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 papain-like protease. Acta PharmaceuticaSinica B 2021;11(1):237e245. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2020.08.014</u>

- 25. Jin Z, Du X, Xu Y, Deng Y, Liu M, Zhao Y (2020) Structure of M pro from SARS-CoV-2 and discovery of its inhibitors. Nature 582:1–24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2223-y
- 26. Littler DR, Gully BS, Colson RN,Rossjohn J. Crystal structure of the SARS-CoV-2 non-structural protein 9, Nsp9. iScience 2020; 23:101258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101258
- 27. Rosas-Lemus M., Minasov G., Shuvalova L., Inniss N., Kiryukhina O., Wiersum G. (2020a). The crystal structure of nsp10-nsp16 heterodimer from SARS-Cov-2 in complex with S-adenosylmethionine. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.047498
- Chen J, Malone B, Llewellyn E, Grasso M, Shelton PMM, Olinares PDB, Maruthi K, Eng ET, Vatandaslar H, Chait BT, Kapoor TM, Darst SA, Campbell EA. Structural basis for helicase-polymerase coupling in the SARS-CoV-2 replication-transcription complex. Cell, 182(6):1560-1573. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.07.033</u>
- 29. Krafcikova P, Silhan J, Nencka R, Boura E. Structural analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 methyltransferase complex involved in RNA cap creation bound to Sinefungin. Nature Communications 2020; 11:1-7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17495-9
- 30. Wang Q, Zhang Y, Wu L, Niu S, Song C, Zhang Z, Lu G, Qiao C, Hu Y, Yuen K, Wang Q, Zhou H, Yan J, Qi J. Structural and Functional Basis of SARS-CoV-2 Entry by Using Human ACE2. Cell, 2020; 181, 894–904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.045
- 31. Breining P, Frølund AL, Højen JF, et al. Camostatmesylate against SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19-Rationale, dosing and safety. Basic Clin. PharmacolToxicol. 2021, 128:204–212.
- 32. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, Schiergens TS, Herrler G, Wu NH, Nitsche A, Müller MA, Drosten C, Pöhlmann S. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell, 2020, 181(2):271-280.
- 33. Yamamoto M, Matsuyama S, Li X, Takeda M, Kawaguchi Y, Inoue J, Matsuda Z (2016). Identification of nafamostat as a potent inhibitor of Middle Eastrespiratory syndrome coronavirus S Protein-mediated membranefusion using the split-protein-based cell-cell fusion assay. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 60(11):6532-6537.
- Chaccour C, Casellas A, Blanco-Di Matteo A, Pineda I, Fernandez-Montero A, Ruiz-Castillo P, Richardson MA, Rodríguez-Mateos M, Jordán-Iborra C, Brew J, Carmona-Torre F, Giráldez M, Laso E, Gabaldón-Figueira JC, Dobaño C, Moncunill G, Yuste JR, Del Pozo JL, Rabinovich NR, Schöning V, Hammann F, Reina G, Sadaba B, Fernández-Alonso M. The effect of early treatment with ivermectin on viral load, symptoms and humoral response in patients with non-severe COVID-19: A pilot, double-blind, placebocontrolled, randomized clinical trial. EClinicalMedicine, 2021, 32:100720.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100720
- 35. Caly L, Druce JD, Catton MG, Jans DA, Wagstaff KM. The FDA-approved drug ivermectin inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. Antiviral Res. 2020, 178:104787.
- 36. Duru CE, I.A. Duru, B.A.A. García, and U.E. Enenebeaku (2021c). Computational modeling of the activity of metronidazole against EhGα1 of *Entamoeba histolytica* enhanced by its copper and zinc complexes. Chemistry Africa. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s42250-021-00245-9</u>

Jurnal Teknologi Laboratorium 1 (2022) 33-42

Table 1: Grid box parameters a	and amino acids	in the binding s	ite of our selected
protein receptors			

S/N	Target	Center arid	Dimension	Active site amino acid		
0/11	Proteins	box (XYZ). Å	(XYZ). Å	residues		
1.	Nsp3 (PDB ID: 7CMD)	-22.808 × - 16.359 × - 19.925	33.668 × 29.582 × 31.718	Gly286, Trp106, Gly271, His73, Cys111, His272, Asp286,Arg140 and Asn109 (Gao et al, 2020)		
2.	Nsp5 (PDB ID: 6LU7)	-14.85 × 14.923 × 69.59	25.02 × 27.98 × 30.87	Thr25, Cys44, Thr26, His41, Met49, Tyr54, Phe140, Leu141, Gly143, Cys145, Asn142, His163, His164, Met165, Ser144, Glu166, Pro168, His172, Val186, Asp187, Arg188, Gln189, Phe185, Thr190 and Gln192 (Jin et al., 2020).		
3.	Nsp9 (PDB ID: 6M71)	38.221 × - 15.213 × 14.62	16.848 × 25.00 × 19.496	Leu9, Ser105, Val7, Pro6, Tyr31, Leu106, Ala8, Met101, Leu103 and Ala107 (Littler et al., 2020)		
4.	Nsp10 (PDB ID: 6YZ1)	67.062 × - 19.238 × 9.243	28.835 × 37.509 × 35.771	Val42, Leu45, Lys93, Thr106, Ala107, asn40, Thr49, Cys120, Cys128, Cys130, Cys117, Cys74, Cys77, Cys90 and His83 (Rosas- Lemus <i>et al.</i> ,2020b).		
5.	Nsp13 (PDB ID: 6XEZ)	-13.877 × 14.581 × - 74.112	20.448 × 20.567 × 25.581	Lys288, Ser289, Asp374, Glu375, Gln404 and Arg567 (Chen et al., 2020).		
6.	Nsp 16 (PDB ID: 6YZ1)	83.813 × 16.651 × 25.451	20.977 × 26.335 × 20.158	Tyr47, Asn43, His69, Asp99, Asn101, Asp114, Asp130 and Lys170(Krafcikova et al., 2020)		
7.	Spike protein (PDB ID: 6LZG)	-37.386 × 31.021 × 12.733	22.603 × 43.431 × 41.774	Trp353, Arg355, Lys417, Gly446, Tyr449, Tyr453, Ala475, Gln484, Phe486, Thr478, Tyr489, Gly496, Gln498, Thr500 and Gly502 (Wang et al., 2020)		

Jurnal Teknologi Laboratorium 1 (2022) 33-42





41

Jurnal Teknologi Laboratorium 1 (2022) 33-42

